

Phytoremediation of Groundwater at Air Force Plant 4 Carswell, Texas

Innovative Technology Evaluation Report



Phytoremediation of Groundwater at Air Force Plant 4

Carswell, Texas

Innovative Technology Evaluation Report

National Risk Management Research Laboratory
Office of Research and Development
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Cincinnati, Ohio 45268

Notice

The information in this document has been found wholly or in part by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in partial fulfillment of Contract No. 68-C0-0048 and Contract No. 68-C5-0036 to Science Applications International Corporation. It has been subject to the Agency's peer and administrative review, and it has been approved for publication as an EPA document. Mention of trade names of commercial products does not constitute an endorsement or recommendation for use.

Foreword

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency is charged by Congress with protecting the Nation's land, air, and water resources. Under a mandate of national environmental laws, the Agency strives to formulate and implement actions leading to a compatible balance between human activities and the ability of natural systems to support and nurture life. To meet this mandate, EPA's research program is providing data and technical support for solving environmental problems today and building a science knowledge base necessary to manage our ecological resources wisely, understand how pollutants affect our health, and prevent or reduce environmental risks in the future.

The National Risk Management Research Laboratory (NRMRL) is the Agency's center for investigation of technological and management approaches for reducing risks from threats to human health and the environment. The focus of the Laboratory's research program is on methods for the prevention and control of pollution to air, land, water, and subsurface resources; protection of water quality in public water systems; remediation of contaminated sites and ground water; and prevention and control of indoor air pollution. The goal of this research effort is to catalyze development and implementation of innovative, cost-effective environmental technologies; develop scientific and engineering information needed by EPA to support regulatory and policy decisions; and provide technical support and information transfer to ensure effective implementation of environmental regulations and strategies.

This publication had been produced as part of the Laboratory's strategic long-term research plan. It is published and made available by EPA's Office of Research and Development to assist the user community and to link researchers with their clients.

Hugh McKinnon, Director
National Risk Management Research Laboratory

Abstract

A demonstration of a Phytoremediation Groundwater Treatment system was conducted at the Carswell Naval Air Station (NAS) Golf Club in Fort Worth, Texas to investigate the ability of purposely planted eastern cottonwood trees, *Populus deltoides*, to help remediate shallow TCE-contaminated groundwater in a subhumid climate. Specifically, the study was undertaken to determine the potential for a planted system to hydraulically control the migration of contaminated groundwater, as well as biologically enhance the subsurface environment to optimize in-situ reductive dechlorination of chlorinated ethenes present (trichloroethene and cis-1,2-dichloroethene) in the shallow aquifer system beneath a portion of the golf course. *Populus deltoides*, like other phreatophytes, have long been recognized as having the ability to tap into the saturated zone to extract water for metabolic processes. Based upon this characteristic the species was considered well suited for applications where shallow aquifers are contaminated with biodegradable organic contaminants. A planted system of cottonwood trees is believed to effectuate two processes that aid and accelerate contaminant attenuation. First, transpiration of groundwater through the trees is believed to be able to modify and hopefully control the hydraulic groundwater gradient. This can minimize the rate and magnitude of migrating contaminants downgradient of the tree plantation. Secondly, the establishment of the root biomass, or rhizosphere, promotes microbial activity and may enhance biodegradative processes in the subsurface. To assess the performance of the system, hydrologic and geochemical data were collected over a three-year period (August 1996 through September 1998). In addition to investigating changes in groundwater hydrology and chemistry, the trees were studied to determine important physiological processes such as rates of water usage, translocation and volatilization of these volatile organic compounds, and biological transformations of chlorinated ethenes within the plant organs.

The demonstration site is situated about one mile from the southern area of the main assembly building at Air Force Plant 4 (Plant 4) at the Carswell NAS. The assembly building is the primary suspected source of TCE at the demonstration site. The evaluation of this technology application was a joint effort between the U.S. Air Force (USAF), the U.S. Geological Survey, the U.S. Forest Service, the Department of Defense's (DoD's) Environmental Security Technology Certification Program (ESTCP), and the U.S. EPA's SITE program.

Contents

Notice	ii
Foreword	iii
Abstract	iv
Tables	vii
Figures	viii
Acronyms, Abbreviations and Symbols	ix
Acknowledgments	xi
 Section 1	
Introduction	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Brief Description of SITE Program and Reports	3
1.3 The SITE Demonstration Program	3
1.4 Purpose of the Innovative Technology Evaluation Report (ITER)	4
1.5 Technology Description	4
1.6 Key Contacts	6
 Section 2	
Technology Applications Analysis	8
2.1 Key Features	8
2.2 Operability of the Technology	8
2.3 Applicable Wastes	11
2.4 Availability and Transportability of the Equipment	11
2.5 Materials Handling Requirements	11
2.6 Site Support Requirements	12
2.7 Range of Suitable Site Characteristics	12
2.8 Limitations of the Technology	12
2.9 Technology Performance Versus ARARS	13
2.9.1 Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA)	14
2.9.2 Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA)	14
2.9.3 Clean Air Act (CAA)	17
2.9.4 Clean Water Act (CWA)	17
2.9.5 Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA)	17
2.9.6 Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA)	18
2.9.7 Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) Requirements	18
2.9.8 State Requirements	18
 Section 3	
Economic Analysis	19
3.1 Introduction	19
3.2 Conclusions	22
3.3 Factors Affecting Estimated Cost	23
3.4 Issues and Assumptions	23

	3.4.1	Site Size and Characteristics	23
	3.4.2	System Design and Performance Factors	24
	3.4.3	System Operating Requirements	24
	3.4.4	Financial Assumptions	25
3.5		Results of the Economic Analysis	26
	3.5.1	Site Preparation	26
	3.5.2	Permitting and Regulatory Requirements	28
	3.5.3	Capital Equipment	28
	3.5.4	Startup and Fixed Costs	29
	3.5.5	Consumable and Supplies	29
	3.5.6	Labor	29
	3.5.7	Utilities	30
	3.5.8	Effluent Treatment and Disposal	30
	3.5.9	Residuals & Waste Shipping, Handling and Storage	30
	3.5.10	Analytical Services	30
	3.5.11	Maintenance and Modifications	31
	3.5.12	Demobilization	31
Section 4		Treatment Effectiveness	32
	4.1	Background	32
	4.2	Detailed Description of the Short Rotation Woody Crop	
		Groundwater Treatment System	33
	4.2.1	Site Selection	33
	4.2.2	Site Characterization	33
	4.2.3	Size and Configuration of the Tree Plantations	33
	4.2.4	Planting and Installation of the Irrigation System	34
	4.2.5	Irrigation	35
	4.2.6	Monitoring	35
	4.3	Project Objectives	36
	4.3.1	Primary Project Objective	36
	4.3.2	Secondary Project Objectives	37
	4.4	Performance Data	39
	4.4.1	Summary of Results - Primary Objective	39
	4.4.2	Summary of Results - Secondary Objectives	39
	4.5	Discussion	55
Section 5		Other Technology Requirements	58
	5.1	Environmental Regulation Requirements	58
	5.2	Personnel Issues	58
	5.3	Community Acceptance	58
Section 6		Technology Status	59
	6.1	Previous Experience	59
	6.2	Scaling Capabilities	59
		References	60
Appendix A		Data Used to Evaluate Primary Project Objective	A-1
Appendix B		Air Force Recommendations	B-1

Tables

2-1	Federal and State Applicable and Relevant and Appropriate Requirements (ARARS) for Tree Based Phytoremediation System	15
3-1	Estimated Full-Scale Costs for a 200,000 Square Foot Hypothetical Phytoremediation Model Site	20
4-1	Summary of Primary Objective Results	40
4-2	Average Concentration of Detectable Volatile Compounds In Plant Tissue	49
4-3	Pseudo First Order Disappearance Rate Constants for the Plant-Leaf Mediated Transformation of TCE	51
4-4	Average TCE and DCE Concentrations in Monitoring Wells	51
4-5	TCE to Cis-1,2-DCE Ratio	52
4-6	Selected chemical data from wells used to define terminal electron accepting processes (TEAP) at the demonstration site	53
4-7	Results of microbial population survey	54

Figures

1-1	SRWCGT Process Mechanisms	5
3-1	Layout of 200,000 Square Foot Hypothetical Model Site for Cost Analysis	25
4-1	Phytoremediation Groundwater Treatment System site layout	35
4-2	Wells Used to Monitor For Changes in the Volumetric Flux of Groundwater Across the Downgradient End of the Short Rotation Woody Crop Groundwater Treatment System	37
4-3	Drawdown at the Water Table That can be Attributed to the Trees	40
4-4	Trunk Diameter Over Time	42
4-5	Tree Height Over Time	42
4-6	Canopy diameter Over Time	43
4-7	Caliper-Tree Plantation at the Time of Planting, April 1996	43
4-8	Caliper-Tree Plantation at the End of Third Growing Season October 1998	43
4-9	Root Counts by Depth	44
4-10	Variation in the Mean Hourly SapFlow Rate (a) Expressed on a Per Tree Basis and (b) expressed on a Per Unit Basal Area Basis. Data are Sample Period Means for all Months ($p < 0.05$) Differences Between Whips and Caliper Trees are Denoted by *. Vertical Lines on all Bars Represent Standard errors	45
4-11	Minimum Predicted Drawdown at the Water Table for Closed-Canopy Conditions (year 12 and beyond)	47
4-12	Maximum Predicted Drawdown at the Water Table for Closed-Canopy Conditions (year 12 and beyond)	47
4-13	Simulated Groundwater Budget (A) Prior to Treatment, (B) Peak of the Third Growing Season (1998), © Peak of the Growing Season Once Closed Canopy has been Achieved (year 12 and beyond)-Minimum Predicted Transpiration, and (D) Peak of the Growing Season Once Closed Canopy has been Achieved (year 12 and beyond)-Maximum Predicted Transpiration	48

Acronyms, Abbreviations and Symbols

A	Cross-Sectional Area of Aquifer
AACE	American Association of Cost Engineers
AFB	Air Force Base
AFCEE	Air Force Center for Environmental Excellence
AQCR	Air Quality Control Regions
AQMD	Air Quality Management District
ARARs	Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements
ASC/ENV	Aeronautical Systems Center Acquisition, Environmental, Safety and Health Division
ATTIC	Alternative Treatment Technology Information Center
BGS	Below Ground Surface
BFDP	Biofuel Feedstock Development Program
BTEX	Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Xylenes
CAA	Clean Air Act
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act
CERI	Center for Environmental Research Information
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CGC	Carswell Golf Club
cm/s	centimeters/second
cm	Centimeter
CWA	Clean Water Act
d	day
DCE	Dichloroethene
DO	Dissolved Oxygen
DoD	Department of Defense
DoE	Department of Energy
ESTCP	Environmental Security Technology Certification Program
ft	feet
g	gram
gtpd	Gallons per Tree per Day
ha	Hectare
hr	Hour
I	Hydraulic Gradient
IRP	Installation Restoration Program
ITER	Innovative Technology Evaluation Report
K	Hydraulic Conductivity
Kg	Kilogram
m	Meter
m/d	meters/day
MCLGs	Maximum Contaminant Level Goals
MCLs	Maximum Contaminant Levels
mg/L	milligrams per liter

Acronyms, Abbreviations and Symbols(Cont'd)

mm	Millimeter
MPN	Most Probable Number
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NAS	Naval Air Station
NCP	National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NPL	National Priorities List
NRMRL	National Risk Management Research Laboratory
O&M	Operation & Maintenance
ORD	Office of Research and Development
ORNL	Oak Ridge National Laboratory
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
OSWER	Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response
PA	Preliminary Assessment
PCBs	Polychlorinated Biphenyls
POTW	Publicly Owned Treatment Works
PPE	Personal Protective Equipment
Q	Volumetric Flux
QA/QC	Quality Assurance/Quality Control
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
RI/FS	Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study
ROD	Record of Decision
SAIC	Science Applications International Corporation
SARA	Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act
SDWA	Safe Drinking Water Act
SITE	Superfund Innovative Technology Evaluation
SWDA	Solid Waste Disposal Act
TCE	Trichloroethene
TEAP	Terminal Electron-Accepting Process
TER	Technology Evaluation Report
TOC	Total Organic Carbon
TSCA	Toxic Substances Control Act
TSD	Treatment Storage and Disposal
USACE	United States Army Corps of Engineers
USAF	United States Air Force
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
USGS	United States Geological Survey
VC	Vinyl Chloride
VISITT	Vendor Information System for Innovative Treatment Technologies
VOCs	Volatile Organic Compounds

Acknowledgments

This report would like to acknowledge the financial support of the Department of Defense's Environmental Security Office (ESTCP) , The United States Environmental Protection Agency, and the United States Air Force Aeronautical Systems Center Engineering Directorate Environmental Safety and Health Division at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base. The authors of this report also acknowledge the technical contributions of urban forester Larry Schaapveld of the Texas State Forest Service who was instrumental in making this project a success.